# **ES Energy & Environment**

# **RESEARCH PAPER**



# High-Order Exceptional Points in Diffusive Systems: Robust APT Symmetry Against Perturbation and Phase Oscillation at APT Symmetry Breaking

Peichao Cao,<sup>1</sup> Ying Li,<sup>2\*</sup> Yugui Peng,<sup>1</sup> Chengwei Qiu<sup>2</sup> and Xuefeng Zhu<sup>1\*</sup>

In non-Hermitian systems with the Hamiltonians obeying parity-time (PT) symmetry, exploring the counterintuitive physics induced by degeneracies known as exceptional points (EPs) provides unprecedented ways to control energy flow. Recently, there are growing interests in bridging wave systems and diffusive systems, where anti-parity-time (APT) symmetry is demonstrated in diffusive systems. In this work, we start from the thermal energy transfer in a four-channel coupling model with the background flow velocities in adjacent channels opposite. A third order EP exists in this system, where temperature profiles in the moving channels are static in the APT symmetric phase (flow velocities below a threshold  $v_{EP}$  at the EP), and the profiles begin to dynamically evolve in the APT broken phase (>  $v_{EP}$ ). By introducing a velocity perturbation into the background flow at the third order EP ( $v_{EP} \pm \Delta v$ ), we find APT symmetry keeps robust with the phases of temperature profiles in adjacent channels relatively static or locked. When  $\Delta v$  is increased above a threshold (another EP), the APT symmetry is breaking with a transition from phase locking to phase oscillation, regardless of initial conditions. This work unveils rich physics in convectively coupled diffusive systems and offers us new prospects for the control of complex thermal fields.

Keywords: Anti-parity-time symmetry; Exceptional point; Phase transition; Heat transfer Received 18 November 2019, Accepted 11 December 2019 DOI: 10.30919/esee8c365

# 1. Introduction

Parity-time (PT) symmetry is attractive in quantum mechanics, since it allows for real eigenvalues in the non-Hermitian Hamiltonians that are associated with observable quantities in physical systems.<sup>1</sup> For a physical system, the parity operator  $\hat{P}$ and time reversal operator  $\hat{T}$  acts based on the rules of  $\hat{P}\psi(x) = \psi(-x)$  and  $\hat{T}\psi(x) = \psi^*(x)$ , respectively, where \* denotes the complex conjugate.<sup>2</sup>However, in PT symmetric systems, real eigenvalue is a conditional but not necessary result, since there exist phase transition points at which the PT symmetry will be spontaneously breaking and the eigenvalues become complex. Such phase transition points are termed as exceptional points (EPs), where eigenmodes are degenerate with both eigenvalues and eigenvectors coalesced.<sup>3,4</sup> Here, EPs are completely different from diabolic points in the parameter spaces of Hermitian systems at which the eigenvectors are orthogonal.<sup>5,6</sup> In the past two decades, the paradigm of PT

symmetry in quantum mechanics has been successfully shifted into classical systems.<sup>7-13</sup> For example, in optics and acoustics, the PT symmetry is constructed by introducing antisymmetrically distributed gain and loss materials. New perspectives are envisioned in the PT symmetric platform, where various counterintuitive effects are theorectically proposed and experimentally demonstrated, such as unidirectional transparency, <sup>7,8</sup> one-way cloaking, <sup>9,10</sup> mode switching,<sup>11,12</sup> EP sensing,<sup>13,14</sup> coherent lasing and absorption.<sup>15,16</sup>

Recently, it was found that EPs also exist in anti-paritytime (APT) symmetric systems, at which phase transition occurs with the eigenvalues changing from pure imaginary (APT symmetric phase) into complex (APT broken phase).<sup>17,18</sup> Unlike the PT symmetric Hamiltonian that satisfies  $\hat{PTH} =$  $H\hat{PT}$ , the APT symmetric Hamiltonian follows the rule of  $\hat{PTH} = -H\hat{PT}$ .<sup>19,20</sup> Mathematically, the PT symmetric Hamiltonian can be transformed into the APT symmetric one

<sup>1</sup>School of Physics and Innovation Institute, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, 430074, China <sup>2</sup>Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, National University of Singapore, Singapore 117583, Singapore \***E-mail:** eleying@nus.edu.sg; xfzhu@hust.edu.cn by simply multiplying the Hamiltonian with an imaginary number *i*. Physically, for a tight-binding model, this operation will end up with a pure virtual coupling between two tightbinding sites, which is quite challenging for the practical implementation. In optics, the virtual coupling between adjacent meta-atoms is realized in an indirect and complicated way by adding a well-designed third meta-atom in-between to equivalently generate a virtual coupling action.<sup>19,21</sup> It needs to be mentioned that the diffusive systems (e.g., thermal systems) are inherently non-Hermitian. The most interesting is the notion that the coupling in diffusive systems is originally imaginary. Thus, with the aid of convection and low diffusivity, we can imitate various wave-like dynamics in the framework of diffusive systems.<sup>22</sup> For example, the stable temperature profile under low diffusivity, which mimics a wave packet, can stop or even move in the opposite direction against the background flow via convection couplings, corresponding to zero or negative group velocity of a wave packet.

In this work, we explore the phase transition in a fourchannel coupling thermal system, which, compared with the two-channel toy model, <sup>22</sup> provides a higher degree of freedom to control energy flow. When the background flow velocities in neighboring channels are opposite, there exists a third order EP in the eigenspectrum, accompanied with APT symmetry breaking. Adding a perturbation into the background flow velocity at the high order EP ( $v_{\rm EP} \pm \Delta v$ ), the APT symmetry remains robust, where the phases of temperature profiles in adjacent moving channels are relatively static, as manifested in a locked mode. When the perturbation  $\Delta v$  surpasses a threshold (another EP), the whole system will transit into the broken APT symmetry. In this case, we observe the effect of robust phase oscillation that is irrelevant to the initial conditions, induced by the concealing dimension in the high order EP. Just as the transformation thermotics that rapidly developed together with the transformation optics and acoustics,<sup>23-26</sup> APT phase transition at EPs in diffusive systems, inspired from the PT phase transition in classical wave systems, will promisingly expand the vision of counterintuitive thermal flow regulation.

### 2. Model and Ttheory

Figs. 1(a&b) show the schematics of 3D model and the corresponding 2D model, respectively. The four identical ringshaped channels are stacked along z-axis, where the thickness, inner radius and outer radius of each channel are b,  $R_1$  and  $R_2$ , respectively. Adjacent channels are coupled through an oil layer with the thickness d. Rotation velocities of the background flow in the four channels are set to be  $\Omega_{1,3} = v/R_1$  and  $\Omega_{2,4} = -v/R_1$ , respectively, given that  $R_1 \approx R_2$ .

Diffusive systems are dissipative with energy exchanges to the environment, which can be regarded as



**Fig. 1** (a), (b) The schematics of 3D model and the corresponding 2D model. Here, the channel width is *b*. The thickness of oil layers is *d*. The inner radius of the ring-shaped channel is  $R_1$ . The outer radius is  $R_2$ . The velocities of background flows in adjacent channels are  $\Omega = \pm v/R_1$ . (c) The imaginary part and real part of eigenvalues vs. the background flow velocity. Red dots at **A** and **B** mark the EPs.

inherently non-Hermitian systems. In stark contrast with wave systems that are governed by the real and Hermitian Hamiltonians, diffusive systems are featured with pure imaginary Hamiltonians. Recently, great efforts have been made to marry the two different scenarios, where the introduced background flow velocity in the convection process can serve as the effective group velocity for directional energy flows, on condition that the thermal diffusivity of materials is trivial. In this case, the Hamiltonians of diffusion systems become complex, with the APT symmetry possibly constructed and EPs generated. For the model displayed in Fig. 1, the convection-diffusion equations describing the temperature field evolutions take the forms of

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial T_{1}}{\partial t} &= D \frac{\partial^{2} T_{1}}{\partial x^{2}} + v \frac{\partial T_{1}}{\partial x} + \frac{h_{s1}}{\rho_{r} C_{r}}; h_{s1} = \frac{\kappa_{o}}{bd} \left( T_{2} - T_{1} \right), \\ \frac{\partial T_{2}}{\partial t} &= D \frac{\partial^{2} T_{2}}{\partial x^{2}} - v \frac{\partial T_{2}}{\partial x} + \frac{h_{s2}}{\rho_{r} C_{r}}; h_{s2} = \frac{\kappa_{o}}{bd} \left( T_{1} + T_{3} - 2T_{2} \right), \\ \frac{\partial T_{3}}{\partial t} &= D \frac{\partial^{2} T_{3}}{\partial x^{2}} + v \frac{\partial T_{3}}{\partial x} + \frac{h_{s3}}{\rho_{r} C_{r}}; h_{s3} = \frac{\kappa_{o}}{bd} \left( T_{2} + T_{4} - 2T_{3} \right), \\ \frac{\partial T_{4}}{\partial t} &= D \frac{\partial^{2} T_{4}}{\partial x^{2}} - v \frac{\partial T_{4}}{\partial x} + \frac{h_{s4}}{\rho_{r} C_{r}}; h_{s4} = \frac{\kappa_{o}}{bd} \left( T_{3} - T_{4} \right), \end{aligned}$$
(1)

where  $T_{1,2,3,4}$  are the temperature profiles in channels 1, 2, 3, 4.  $\rho_r$  and  $C_r$  are the mass density and heat capacity of the ring materials, respectively. In Eq. (1),  $D = \kappa_r / \rho_r C_r$  is the thermal diffusivity of the ring materials, with  $\kappa_r$  denoting the thermal conductivity. For the coupling oil layers,  $h_{s1,2,3,s4}$ and  $\kappa_o$  represent the coupling strengths between adjacent channels and the thermal conductivity of oil, respectively.

Eq. (1) is based on the continuity of temperature fields on the boundaries of oil layers. For simplicity, we first consider the thermal flow in a slowly rotating ring with trivial diffusivity  $D \rightarrow 0$ . The convection-diffusion equation is expressed into  $\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = D \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2} + v \frac{\partial T}{\partial x}$ . Since the diffusion term is a perturbation compared to the advection term, the convectiondiffusion equation can be reduced into a homogeneous form  $\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = v \frac{\partial T}{\partial x}$ , which has a wave form solution T(x,t) = $Ae^{i(kx - \omega t)}$ . Substituting the wave form solution back into the inhomogeneous convection-diffusion equation, we will end up with  $\omega = -ik^2D + kv$ . In poor thermal conducting materials, the initial temperature field profile keeps unchanged during the rotation. After one circle, the field coincides, where we define the perimeter  $L = 2\pi R_1$  as the periodic wavelength of the circulating energy packets. Straightforwardly, the wave number k in the wave form solution can be defined as  $k = 2\pi/L =$  $1/R_1$ . Eq. (1) actually takes a similar form to the timeindependent Schrödinger equation  $H\psi(x) = E\psi(x)$ , where the Hamiltonian is

. *Т* 

$$H_{0} = \begin{pmatrix} S_{0} - kv & ih & 0 & 0\\ ih & S_{0} - ih + kv & ih & 0\\ 0 & ih & S_{0} - ih - kv & ih\\ 0 & 0 & ih & S_{0} + kv \end{pmatrix}$$
(2)

with  $S_0 = -i(k^2D + h)$  and  $h = \kappa_0 / \rho_r C_r b d$ . After some derivations, eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian in Eq. (2) are solved by

$$\omega_{\pm 1} = -i \left[ k^2 D + h \pm \sqrt{h^2 - (kv)^2} \right],$$
  
$$\omega_{\pm 2} = -i \left[ k^2 D + 2h \pm \sqrt{2h^2 - (kv)^2} \right].$$
(3)

In Eq. (3), the imaginary part of eigenvalues is mainly determined by the coupling strength *h*, which characterizes the decay rate of thermal energy. The eigenvalues will turn into complex ones, on condition that the effect of convection outweighs the coupling action. As shown by Eq. (3),  $\omega_{\pm 1}$  and  $\omega_{\pm 2}$  are complex with real and imaginary parts, when h < kv and  $\sqrt{2} h < kv$ , respectively. Eigenvectors of the Hamiltonian corresponding to  $\omega_{\pm 1}$  and  $\omega_{\pm 2}$  are derived to be

$$u_{\pm 1}(k) = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{-h^2 + 2k^2v^2 \pm 2ikv\sqrt{h^2 - k^2v^2}}{h^2}, -\frac{-ikv \pm \sqrt{h^2 - k^2v^2}}{h}, \\ -\frac{-ikv \pm \sqrt{h^2 - k^2v^2}}{h}, 1 \end{pmatrix}^{T}, \\ u_{\pm 2}(k) = \begin{pmatrix} -1, \frac{h + ikv \pm \sqrt{2h^2 - k^2v^2}}{h}, \frac{-h + ikv \mp \sqrt{2h^2 - k^2v^2}}{h}, 1 \end{pmatrix}^{T}.$$
(4)

Fig. 1(c) shows the relation between the eigenvalues and the flow velocity. In the calculation, we set  $R_1 = 0.1 \text{ m}$ ,  $R_2 =$ 0.11 m, d = 5 mm, b = 1 mm,  $\kappa_r = 100$  W/(m·K),  $\kappa_o =$ 1 W/(m·K),  $\rho_r = \rho_o = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$  and  $C_r = C_o = 1000 \text{ J/(kg·}$ K). Thus we have  $k = 1/R_1 = 10 \text{ m}^{-1}$ ,  $h = \frac{\kappa_0}{\rho C b d} = 0.2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and  $D = \kappa_r / \rho_r C_r = 10^{-4} \text{m}^2/\text{s}$ . In Fig. 1(c), there exist two EPs in the spectrum, as marked by the red dots A and B. From Eqs. (3) and (4), we obtain that the degenerated point A at v =h/k is a third order EP with the eigenvalues being  $\omega_{\pm 1,-2} = -i(k^2D + h)$  and  $\omega_{\pm 2} = -i(k^2D + 3h)$ . The corresponding eigenvectors are  $u_{\pm 1,-2} = (-1, i, i, 1)^T$  and  $u_{i+2} = (-1, 2 + i, -2 + i, 1)^T$ , respectively. The degenerated point **B** at  $v = \sqrt{2} h/k$  is a typical two order EP with the eigenvalues  $\omega_{\pm 1} = -i(k^2D + h) \pm h$  and  $\omega_{\pm 2} = -i(k^2D + 2h)$ . eigenvectors The are  $u_{+1} =$  $\left[-3 \pm 2\sqrt{2}, i(\mp 1 + \sqrt{2}), i(\mp 1 + \sqrt{2}), 1\right]^{T}$ and  $u_{+2} =$  $\left[-1,1+i\sqrt{2},-1+i\sqrt{2},1\right]^{T}$ . Here, it should be mentioned that the observed field evolution in diffusive systems eventually follows the minimum loss route, where the highloss eigenfields will damp rapidly. As a result, in Fig. 1(c), the whole system would take the branch of  $\omega_{-1}$ , as marked by the

brown lines. Therefore, as the flow velocity increases, the system will only experience the third order EP at  $v_{\rm EP} = h/k = 2$  cm/s, where the APT breaking phase transition occurs. Here we emphasized that the originally degenerated real parts of eigenvalues split into the upper and lower branches after the EPs, where we take the lower branch as  $\omega_{-1,-2}$  for consistency, as shown in Fig. 1(c) and the following.

#### 3. Results and Discussion

When the eigenvectors u satisfy  $\hat{PTu} = \pm u$ , the whole system operates in the PT/APT symmetric phase and will reach a steady state over time under the PT/APT symmetry protection.<sup>22</sup> When  $\hat{PTu} \neq \pm u$ , the system is operating in the PT/APT broken phase with unstable eigenstates.

#### 3.1 Phase Transition at the High Order EP

From the Eq. (3), for  $v < v_{\rm EP}$ , all eigenvalues are pure imaginary. Substituting  $\phi = \arcsin(v/v_{\rm EP})$  into Eq. (4), the eigenvectors can be further simplified into

$$u_{\pm 1}(k) = \left(\cos 2\phi \mp i \sin 2\phi, \mp \cos\phi + i \sin\phi, \mp \cos\phi + i \sin\phi, 1\right)^{T},$$
$$u_{\pm 2}(k) = \left(-1, \left(1 \pm \sqrt{2 - \sin\phi^{2}}\right) + i \sin\phi, -\left(1 \pm \sqrt{2 - \sin\phi^{2}}\right) + i \sin\phi, 1\right)^{T}.$$
(5)

Combining the forward and backward wave form solutions, we will obtain expressions of eigenstates  $T = [T_1, T_2, T_3, T_4] = e^{-i\omega t} [u(k)e^{ikx} + u(-k)e^{-ikx}]$ , where  $T_{1,2,3,4}$  denote the steady temperature profiles in channels 1-4. All the possible eigenstates with eigenfields in the four channels are derived as follows

$$\begin{split} T_{+1} &= 2e^{-i\omega_{+1}t} \Big[ \cos(kx - 2\phi), -\cos(kx - \phi), -\cos(kx - \phi), \cos kx \Big], \\ T_{-1} &= 2e^{-i\omega_{-1}t} \Big[ \cos(kx + 2\phi), \cos(kx + \phi), \cos(kx + \phi), \cos kx \Big], \\ T_{+2} &= 2e^{-i\omega_{+2}t} \Bigg[ -\cos kx, (1 + \sqrt{2 - \sin^2 \phi} \ ) \cos kx - \sin \phi \sin kx, \\ -(1 + \sqrt{2 - \sin^2 \phi} \ ) \cos kx - \sin \phi \sin kx, \cos kx \Big], \\ T_{-2} &= 2e^{-i\omega_{-2}t} \Bigg[ -\cos kx, (1 - \sqrt{2 - \sin^2 \phi} \ ) \cos kx - \sin \phi \sin kx, \\ -(1 - \sqrt{2 - \sin^2 \phi} \ ) \cos kx - \sin \phi \sin kx, \cos kx \Big]. \end{split}$$
(6)

Note that the whole system ends up with the minimum loss case of  $T_{-1}$  over time, showing that the steady-state temperature fields will stand still and phase differences between  $T_1(T_4)$  and  $T_2(T_3)$  are  $\pm \phi = \pm \arcsin(v/v_{\rm EP})$ . This claim is demonstrated from the full wave simulation results by using a finite element solver COMSOL Multiphysics® 5.3, as shown in Fig. 2(a), where the initial temperature fields in all channels are set by  $T_{1,2,3,4} = 293.15 + 100y$  (K). At  $v = v_{\text{EP}}$ , namely, the third order transition point of eigenstates from APT symmetry to APT symmetry breaking, the temperature fields in each channel keep standing still and the phase difference between adjacent channels is  $\pi/2$ . To be specific, Fig. 2(b) shows the eigenfields distribution  $T_{1,2,3,4}$  in the four eigenstates  $T_{\pm 1, -2} \sim -2 [\cos kx, \sin kx, \sin kx, -\cos kx]$  and  $T_{+2} \sim -2$  $2\left[\cos^{2}kx, -\sqrt{5}\cos\left(kx + \theta - \pi/2\right), \sqrt{5}\cos\left(kx - \theta - \pi/2\right)\right]$  $\pi/2$ ),  $-\cos k x$ ]( $\theta = \arctan 1/2$ ).

For  $v > v_{\text{EP}}$ , the whole system transits into the APT



**Fig. 2** APT symmetry breaking at the high order EP in the four-channel diffusive system. (a) The time evolution of phase differences  $\varphi_1 - \varphi_2$  and  $\varphi_4 - \varphi_3$  vs. the flow velocity v, where we set  $v_n = v_{\text{EP}} \sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{18}\right)$ ,  $(n = 1,2,\cdots,9)$ . (b) The eigenstates  $T_{\pm 1}$  and  $T_{\pm 2}$  at the EP. In (a) and (b),  $T_{1,2,3,4}$  and  $\varphi_{1,2,3,4}$  denote the steady temperature profiles and the related phases in channels 1-4. (c), (d) Theory and simulation results of time evolution of  $\varphi_1 - \varphi_2$  at  $v = 2\sqrt{2}$  cm/s, when the APT symmetry is broken.

breaking phase, where the evolution of temperature profiles becomes very complicated. Intuitively, the convection effect outperforms thermal coupling, making the system impossible to reach a steady state. Substituting  $\psi = \operatorname{arccosh}(v/v_{EP})$  into Eq. (4), we will derive the eigenstates as

$$\begin{split} T_{+1} &= e^{\operatorname{Im}\omega_{+1}t} \begin{bmatrix} -e^{-2\psi}\cos\left(kx - \operatorname{Re}\left(\omega_{+1}\right)t\right) - e^{2\psi}\cos\left(kx + \operatorname{Re}\left(\omega_{+1}\right)t\right), \\ e^{-\psi}\cos\left(kx - \operatorname{Re}\left(\omega_{+1}\right)t\right) + e^{\psi}\cos\left(kx + \operatorname{Re}\left(\omega_{+1}\right)t\right), \\ e^{-\psi}\cos\left(kx - \operatorname{Re}\left(\omega_{+1}\right)t\right) + e^{\psi}\cos\left(kx + \operatorname{Re}\left(\omega_{+1}\right)t\right), \\ \cos\left(kx - \operatorname{Re}\left(\omega_{+1}\right)t\right) + \cos\left(kx + \operatorname{Re}\left(\omega_{+1}\right)t\right) \end{bmatrix}^{T}, \\ T_{-1} &= e^{\operatorname{Im}\omega_{-1}t} \begin{bmatrix} -e^{2\psi}\cos\left(kx - \operatorname{Re}\left(\omega_{-1}\right)t\right) - e^{-2\psi}\cos\left(kx + \operatorname{Re}\left(\omega_{-1}\right)t\right), \\ e^{\psi}\cos\left(kx - \operatorname{Re}\left(\omega_{-1}\right)t\right) + e^{-\psi}\cos\left(kx + \operatorname{Re}\left(\omega_{-1}\right)t\right), \\ e^{\psi}\cos\left(kx - \operatorname{Re}\left(\omega_{-1}\right)t\right) + e^{-\psi}\cos\left(kx + \operatorname{Re}\left(\omega_{-1}\right)t\right), \\ e^{\psi}\cos\left(kx - \operatorname{Re}\left(\omega_{-1}\right)t\right) + e^{-\psi}\cos\left(kx + \operatorname{Re}\left(\omega_{-1}\right)t\right), \\ \cos\left(kx - \operatorname{Re}\left(\omega_{-1}\right)t\right) + \cos\left(kx + \operatorname{Re}\left(\omega_{-1}\right)t\right), \\ \cos\left(kx - \operatorname{Re}\left(\omega_{+2}\right)t\right) - \cos\left(kx + \operatorname{Re}\left(\omega_{+2}\right)t\right), \\ \cos\left(kx - \operatorname{Re}\left(\omega_{+2}\right)t\right) - \cos\left(kx + \operatorname{Re}\left(\omega_{+2}\right)t\right), \\ -\cos\left(kx - \operatorname{Re}\left(\omega_{+2}\right)t\right) - \cos\left(kx - \theta_{1} + \operatorname{Re}\left(\omega_{+2}\right)t\right), \\ \cos\left(kx - \operatorname{Re}\left(\omega_{+2}\right)t\right) - \cos\left(kx - \theta_{1} + \operatorname{Re}\left(\omega_{+2}\right)t\right), \\ \cos\left(kx - \operatorname{Re}\left(\omega_{+2}\right)t\right) + \cos\left(kx + \operatorname{Re}\left(\omega_{+2}\right)t\right), \\ \cos\left(kx - \operatorname{Re}\left(\omega_{+2}\right)t\right) + \cos\left(kx + \operatorname{Re}\left(\omega_{+2}\right)t\right), \\ \left(\tan\theta_{1} = \frac{\cosh\psi}{1 + \sqrt{2 - \cosh^{2}\psi}}\right), \end{split}$$

$$T_{-2} = e^{\lim \omega_{-2}t} \begin{bmatrix} -\cos(kx - \operatorname{Re}(\omega_{-2})t) - \cos(kx + \operatorname{Re}(\omega_{-2})t), \\ \cos(kx + \theta_2 - \operatorname{Re}(\omega_{-2})t) - \cos(kx + \theta_2 + \operatorname{Re}(\omega_{-2})t), \\ -\cos(kx - \theta_2 - \operatorname{Re}(\omega_{-2})t) - \cos(kx - \theta_2 - \operatorname{Re}(\omega_{-2})t), \\ \cos(kx - \operatorname{Re}(\omega_{-2})t) + \cos(kx + \operatorname{Re}(\omega_{-2})t) \end{bmatrix}^T,$$

$$\left(\tan \theta_2 = \frac{\cosh \psi}{1 - \sqrt{2 - \cosh^2 \psi}}\right).$$

$$(7)$$

In inspection of Eq. (7), the amplitudes of forward and backward wave form components in the eigenstate  $T_{-1}$  are  $A_{-1,1} = -e^{2\psi}, \quad B_{-1,1} = -e^{-2\psi}, \quad A_{-1,2} = e^{\psi}, \quad B_{-1,2} = e^{-\psi}, \quad A_{-1,3} = e^{\psi},$  $B_{-1,3} = e^{-\psi}$  and  $A_{-1,4} = B_{-1,4} = 1$ . Obviously, the temperature fields  $T_{1,2,3,4}$  are unstable over time evolution. Here we utilize the local maximum to trace the position (or phase) of temperature fields in each channel. From  $\partial T_i / \partial x = 0$  and (7),we will obtain Eq. the relation  $\tan kx_i = \tan \left[ \operatorname{Re}(\omega)t \right] (A_{-1,i} - B_{-1,i}) / (A_{-1,i} + B_{-1,i}).$  Define the phase difference between channels 1 and 2 as  $\varphi_1 - \varphi_2 = k(x_1 - x_2).$ Considering  $tank(x_1 - x_2) =$  $\frac{\tan kx_1 - \tan kx_2}{1 + \tan kx_1 \cdot \tan kx_2}, \text{ we have}$ 

$$\varphi_1 - \varphi_2 = \arctan \frac{S_1 \tan \left[ \operatorname{Re}(\omega) t \right]}{1 + S_2 \tan^2 \left[ \operatorname{Re}(\omega) t \right]}, \tag{8}$$

where 
$$S_1 = \frac{A_{-1,1} - B_{-1,1}}{A_{-1,1} + B_{-1,1}} - \frac{A_{-1,2} - B_{-1,2}}{A_{-1,2} + B_{-1,2}}, \quad S_2 = \frac{A_{-1,1} - B_{-1,1}}{A_{-1,1} + B_{-1,1}}$$



**Fig. 3** Perturbation at the high order EP. (a) The schematic of flow velocity modulation in the four channels. (b), (c) The imaginary part and real part of eigenvalues vs. the flow velocity modulation  $\Delta v$ , with the brown lines denoting the scenario of the lowest energy dissipation. Red dots at **A'**, **B'**, and **C'** mark the EPs. (d) The phase difference  $\varphi_1 - \varphi_2$  between channels 1 and 2 over time evolution in APT symmetry, where  $\Delta v = 0.5$  cm/s, 1.0 cm/s, 1.5 cm/s.

 $\frac{A_{-1,2} - B_{-1,2}}{A_{-1,2} + B_{-1,2}}$ . Figs. 2(c&d) show the theoretical calculation

and numerical simulation of the phase difference  $\varphi_1 - \varphi_2$ over time evolution, respectively. The results unequivocally reveal that the phase difference  $\varphi_1 - \varphi_2$  keeps increasing and will never reach a steady state on condition that APT symmetry is breaking.

## 3.2 Perturbation at the High Order EP

In this section, we explore the case that a perturbation is introduced to the high order EP. Here we introduce a flow velocity modulation  $\pm \Delta v$  into the four-channel system, as schematically shown in Fig. 3(a). In this case, the Hamiltonian containing the perturbation is rewritten as follows

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \Pi_{1} & - & \\ \left(S_{0} - (kv_{EP} - \Delta v) & ih & 0 & 0 \\ & ih & S_{0} - ih + (kv_{EP} + \Delta v) & ih & 0 \\ & 0 & ih & S_{0} - ih - (kv_{EP} + \Delta v) & ih \\ & 0 & 0 & ih & S_{0} + (kv_{EP} - \Delta v) \end{array} \right) .$$

The imaginary part and the real part of the Hamiltonian are shown in Figs. 3(b&c). The result shows that as the modulation strength  $\Delta v$  increases from 0 cm/s, there exist three EPs. One is the third order EP A' at  $\Delta v = 0$  cm/s, while the typical two order EPs B' and C' locate at  $\Delta v = 0.57$  cm/s and  $\Delta v = 1.6$  cm/s. As we mentioned before, the diffusive system is always following the lowest dissipation state over time. Therefore, the eigenvalue of the Hamiltonian takes the brown branch in Figs. 3(b&c). The results show that the APT symmetry is robust against the weak perturbation at the high order EP. When the perturbation strength is above the threshold  $\Delta v = 1.6 \text{ cm/s}$ , the whole system will transit into APT symmetry breaking across the EP C' and the temperature fields become unstable. Fig. 3(d) displays the time evolution of phase difference  $\varphi_1 - \varphi_2$  at different modulation strengths, where the APT is symmetric. In Fig. 3(d), we find that the phases of steady-state temperature profiles in adjacent circulating channels are relatively static (t > 60 s), as manifested in a locked mode with a nearly constant phase difference. To be specific, the phase differences of three locked modes (in degrees) are 55° for  $\Delta v = 0.5 \text{ cm/s}$ , 41.2° for  $\Delta v = 1 \text{ cm/s}$ , and 25.6° for  $\Delta v = 1.5 \text{ cm/s}$ , respectively.

As aforementioned, the temperature fields in diffusive systems are unstable in the APT broken phase. Here we show that by introducing flow velocity modulation at the high order EP, the phase difference between adjacent channels will oscillate over time instead of continuously diverging at APT breaking. In addition, the phase oscillation at APT breaking is independent with the initial condition. In Figs. 4(a-c), we present a numerical demonstration of the phase oscillation effect at APT breaking with three different initial conditions. Specifically, in Fig. 4(a), the temperature profile at t = 0 s is  $T_{1,3} = 293.15 + 100x$  (K) and  $T_{2,4} = 293.15 + 100y$  (K), with  $\varphi_1 - \varphi_2 = -90^\circ$ . In Fig. 4(b), the temperature profile at t =0 s is  $T_{1,2,3,4} = 293.15 + 100y$  (K), with  $\varphi_1 - \varphi_2 = 0^\circ$ . In Fig. 4 (c), the temperature profile at t = 0 s is 293.15 - 100x (K) and  $T_{2,4} = 293.15 + 100y$  (K), with  $\varphi_1 - \varphi_2 = 90^{\circ}$ . Here the flow velocity modulation strength  $\Delta v = 4$  cm/s. The results reveal that for the three cases, phase oscillation occurs at t > 40 s, with the oscillation center angle  $\varphi_{center} = 45^{\circ}$  and the time cycle



**Fig. 4** Periodic phase oscillation in APT symmetry breaking. (a), (b) and (c) Phase oscillation of  $\varphi_1 - \varphi_2$  at  $\Delta v = 4$  cm/s with the initial condition being  $\varphi_1 - \varphi_2 = -\pi/2$ , 0 and  $\pi/2$  at t = 0 s, respectively. (d) The oscillation center angles/ time cycles vs. the flow velocity modulation  $\Delta v$ .

 $T_{\text{period}} = 32 \text{ s.}$  In Figs. 4(a-c), the insets show the temperature profiles in channels 1 and 2 at different times when the phase difference  $\varphi_1 - \varphi_2$  takes maximum or minimum values, vividly displaying the relative periodic oscillation of phase difference over time. Fig. 4(d) shows that both the oscillation center angle and the time cycle decrease as the flow velocity modulation strength increases. When the flow modulation strength  $\Delta v$  is much larger than the EP velocity  $v_{\text{EP}}$ , for example  $\Delta v = 40 \text{ cm/s}$ , the flows in channels 1 and 2 can be regarded as almost synchronous circulation. Therefore, the phase oscillation is weak with the center angle close to 0 ( $\varphi_{\text{center}} = 1.4^{\circ}$ ), while the time cycle of the phase jittering  $T_{\text{period}} = 21.6 \text{ s}$ .

At last, we would like to briefly discuss the cases of more channels coupled, odd-number channels coupled and the suggestions for experiment. When more channels are coupled, we can basically obtain four-order EPs and beyond. In this case, the technical difficulty for experimental observation is increasing. Higher ordered EPs are intuitively associated with very complicated behaviors in phase evolution. However, the properties protected by APT symmetry are expected to be unchanged. For example, the phase differences of temperature profiles between adjacent channels for the steady states are locked in the APT symmetric case. Phase oscillation at APT symmetry breaking is also supposed to occur when the higher ordered EP is perturbed with the velocity modulation.

In this work, we focus on the model of even-number coupled channels with inside flows having equal-but-opposite velocities. It will be interesting if an additional channel is introduced to break the symmetry of the whole system (i.e., odd-number channels). In light of the Hamiltonian analysis, there will exist an isolated branch with no conjugate pair in the eigenvalue spectrum, which does not degenerate with other paired branches. When the eigenmodes on the isolated branch have the minimum loss, the system will follow this single branch and do not experience EP-induced phase transition. For the experimental demonstration, we can use nylon rings ( $\kappa_r <$ 2 W/(m·K)) and grease ( $\kappa_0 \approx 0.3$  W/(m·K)) as the channels and coupling layers, respectively. The flow velocity is implemented by rotating the rings with motors, where the rotation speed can be accurately controlled. The initial temperature fields are added to the rings through a copper plate with the ends immersing into the hot and cold water baths. Meanwhile, the copper plate is closely contacting with the rings.

## 4. Conclusions

In summary, we comprehensively investigate the phase evolution in a four-channel coupling-chain diffusive model. We show that a third order EP is generated in the eigenspectrum of the Hamiltonian for the four-channel toy model with the background flow velocities in adjacent channels being

opposite. The high order EP is featured with APT phase transition. At the APT symmetry, we theoretically derive and numerically verify the phase differences of the steady-state temperature profiles between adjacent channels, where the flow velocity is below the threshold  $v_{\rm EP}$ . The divergence of phase difference is also verified in the unstable diffusive system at APT symmetry breaking. More interesting is to introduce a perturbation into the background flow velocity at the high order EP. We find that the APT symmetry is robust against weak perturbation, where the system operates in a locked mode with the phase difference of moving temperature profiles in adjacent channels relatively unchanged. As the modulation is strengthened to surpass a threshold (another EP), the four-channel system will transit into the broken APT symmetry. In APT breaking, the phenomenon of robust phase oscillation is observed, which is irrelevant to the initial conditions. This work marries the two scenarios of diffusive systems and high order EP physics, which paves the way of counterintuitive thermal flow regulation via phase transition in coupling-chain diffusive systems.

#### **Conflict of Interest**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

# Acknowledgements

This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grants Nos. 11674119, 11690030 and 11690032). X. F. Z. acknowledges the financial support from the Bird Nest Plan of HUST.

#### References

- 1. C. M. Bender and S. Yoettcher, Phys. Rev. Lett., 1998, 80 (24), 5243.
- S. Attal, A. Joye and C. A. Pillet (eds.), Open quantum systems. I. The Hamiltonian approach. Lecture Notes Math., 1880, Springer, Berlin, 2006.
- 3. W. D. Heiss, J. Phys. A-Math. Theor., 2012, 45 (44), 444016.
- 4. M. A. Miri and A. Alu, *Science.*, 2019, **363** (6422), 42.
- 5. D. R. Yarkony, Accounts Chem. Res., 1998, 31 (8), 511.
- S. K. Ozdemir, S. Rotter, F. Nori and L. Yang, *Nat. Mater.*, 2019, 18 (8), 783.
- Z. Lin, H. Ramezani, T. Eichelkraut, T. Kottos, H. Cao and D. N. Christodoulides, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2011, **106** (21), 213901.
- B. Peng, S. K. Ozdemir, F. C. Lei, F. Monifi, M. Gianfreda, G. L. Long, S. H. Fan, F. Nori, C. M. Bender and L. Yang, *Nat. Phys.*, 2014, **10** (5), 394.
- X. F. Zhu, L. Feng, P. Zhang, X. B. Yin and X. Zhang, *Opt. Lett.*, 2013, 38 (15), 2821.
- X. F. Zhu, H. Ramezani, C. Z. Shi, J. Zhu and X. Zhang, *Phys. Rev. X.*, 2014, 4 (3), 031042.
- J. Doppler, A. A. Mailybaev, J. Bohm, U. Kuhl, A. Girschik, F. Libisch, T. J. Milburn, P. Rabl, N. Moiseyev and S. Rotter, *Nature.*, 2016, 537 (7618), 76.
- X. L. Zhang, S. B. Wang, B. Hou and C. T. Chan, *Phys. Rev. X.*, 2018, 8 (2), 021066.
- 13. J. Wiersig, Phys. Rev. Lett., 2014, 112 (20), 203901.
- 14. H. Hodaei, A. U. Hassan, S. Wittek, H. Garcia-Gracia, R. El-Ganainy, D.

N. Christodoulides and M. Khajavikhan, Nature, 2017, 548 (7666), 187.

- Z. J. Wong, Y. L. Xu, J. Kim, K. O'byrien, Y. Wang, L. Feng and X. Zhang, *Nat. Photonics*, 2016, **10** (12), 796.
- W. J. Wan, Y. D. Chong, L. Ge, H. Noh, A. D. Stone and H. Cao, *Science*, 2011, 331 (6019), 889.
- 17. L. Ge and H. E. Tureci, Phys. Rev. A, 2013, 88 (5), 053810.
- D. A. Antonosyan, A. S. Solntsev and A. A. Sukhorukov, *Opt. Lett.*, 2015, 40 (20), 4575.
- P. Peng, W. X. Cao, C. Shen, W. Z. Qu, J. M. Wen, L. Jiang and Y. H. Xiao, *Nat. Phys.*, 2016, **12** (12), 1139.
- 20. X. L. Zhang, T. S. Jiang and C. T. Chan, Light-Sci Appl., 2019, 8 (88).
- 21. F. Yang, Y. C. Liu and L. You, Phys. Rev. A, 2017, 96 (5), 053845.
- Y. Li, Y. G. Peng, L. Han, M. A. Miri, W. Li, M. Xiao, X. F. Zhu, J. L. Zhao, A. Alù, S. H. Fan and C. W. Qiu, *Science*, 2019, 364 (6436), 170.

- J. B. Pendry, D. Schurig and D. R. Smith, Science, 2006, 312 (5781), 1780.
- 24. C. Z. Fan, Y. Gao and J. P. Huang, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2008, **92** (25), 251907.
- T. C. Han, X. Bai, J. T. L. Thong, B. W. Li and C. W. Qiu, *Adv. Mater.*, 2014, 26 (11), 1731.
- Y. Li, X. Bai, T. Z. Yang, H. L. Luo and C. W. Qiu, *Nat. Commun.*, 2018, 9 (1), 273.

**Publisher's Note** Engineered Science Publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.